
**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**
Washington, DC 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

Quarterly Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2013

Or

Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

for the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number: 0-10436

L. B. Foster Company

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

Pennsylvania
(State of Incorporation)

25-1324733
(I. R. S. Employer
Identification No.)

415 Holiday Drive, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania
(Address of principal executive offices)

15220
(Zip Code)

(412) 928-3400

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (section 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

| | | | |
|-------------------------|--|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Large accelerated filer | <input type="checkbox"/> | Accelerated filer | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Non-accelerated filer | <input type="checkbox"/> (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) | Smaller reporting company | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Indicate by checkmark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Class
Common Stock, Par Value \$.01

Outstanding at July 31, 2013
10,324,470 Shares

[Table of Contents](#)

L.B. FOSTER COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

INDEX

| | Page |
|---|------|
| PART I. Financial Information | |
| Item 1. Financial Statements (unaudited): | |
| Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets | 3 |
| Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations | 4 |
| Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income | 5 |
| Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows | 6 |
| Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements | 8 |
| Item 2. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations | 24 |
| Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk | 34 |
| Item 4. Controls and Procedures | 34 |
| PART II. Other Information | |
| Item 1. Legal Proceedings | 35 |
| Item 1A. Risk Factors | 35 |
| Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds | 35 |
| Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures | 35 |
| Item 6. Exhibits | 36 |
| Signature | 37 |
| Index to Exhibits | 38 |

[Table of Contents](#)**Part I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION****Item 1. Financial Statements**

L. B. FOSTER COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(In thousands, except share data)

| | June 30, 2013 (Unaudited) | December 31, 2012 |
|---|---------------------------------|----------------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| Current assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 94,678 | \$ 101,464 |
| Accounts receivable - net | 78,749 | 59,673 |
| Inventories - net | 98,609 | 107,108 |
| Current deferred tax assets | 4,585 | 4,585 |
| Prepaid income tax | 3,731 | 1,195 |
| Other current assets | 2,586 | 1,903 |
| Current assets of discontinued operations | 195 | 464 |
| Total current assets | <u>283,133</u> | <u>276,392</u> |
| Property, plant and equipment - net | 41,640 | 42,333 |
| Other assets: | | |
| Goodwill | 41,237 | 41,237 |
| Other intangibles - net | 38,808 | 40,165 |
| Investments | 4,460 | 4,332 |
| Other assets | 1,594 | 1,663 |
| Total Assets | <u>\$410,872</u> | <u>\$ 406,122</u> |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY | | |
| Current liabilities: | | |
| Accounts payable - trade | \$ 52,546 | \$ 50,454 |
| Deferred revenue | 9,893 | 7,447 |
| Accrued payroll and employee benefits | 6,418 | 9,604 |
| Accrued warranty | 11,815 | 15,727 |
| Current maturities of long-term debt | 23 | 35 |
| Other accrued liabilities | 7,804 | 8,596 |
| Liabilities of discontinued operations | 37 | 106 |
| Total current liabilities | <u>88,536</u> | <u>91,969</u> |
| Long-term debt | 15 | 27 |
| Deferred tax liabilities | 11,728 | 12,140 |
| Other long-term liabilities | 13,596 | 14,411 |
| Stockholders' equity: | | |
| Common stock, par value \$.01, authorized 20,000,000 shares; shares issued at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, 11,115,779; shares outstanding at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, 10,180,423 and 10,149,398 | 111 | 111 |
| Paid-in capital | 46,329 | 46,290 |
| Retained earnings | 281,913 | 270,311 |
| Treasury stock - at cost, Common Stock, 935,356 shares at June 30, 2013 and 966,381 shares at December 31, 2012 | (24,556) | (25,468) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (6,800) | (3,669) |
| Total stockholders' equity | <u>296,997</u> | <u>287,575</u> |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY | <u>\$410,872</u> | <u>\$ 406,122</u> |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

L. B. FOSTER COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
(In thousands, except share data)

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|--------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------------|-----------------|
| | 2013 (Unaudited) | 2012 | 2013 (Unaudited) | 2012 |
| Net sales | \$ 149,936 | \$ 163,180 | \$ 279,257 | \$ 277,471 |
| Cost of goods sold | 120,761 | 150,846 | 225,234 | 243,485 |
| Gross profit | 29,175 | 12,334 | 54,023 | 33,986 |
| Selling and administrative expenses | 17,951 | 16,628 | 35,081 | 33,558 |
| Amortization expense | 700 | 697 | 1,401 | 1,394 |
| Interest expense | 125 | 123 | 258 | 263 |
| Interest income | (139) | (94) | (345) | (194) |
| Equity in income of nonconsolidated investment | (420) | (309) | (596) | (332) |
| Other income | (137) | (121) | (315) | (606) |
| | <u>18,080</u> | <u>16,924</u> | <u>35,484</u> | <u>34,083</u> |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes | 11,095 | (4,590) | 18,539 | (97) |
| Income tax expense (benefit) | 3,838 | (1,269) | 6,331 | 245 |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | <u>7,257</u> | <u>(3,321)</u> | <u>12,208</u> | <u>(342)</u> |
| Discontinued operations: | | | | |
| Income from discontinued operations before income taxes | 62 | 3,543 | 23 | 4,147 |
| Income tax expense | 24 | 2,293 | 9 | 2,507 |
| Income from discontinued operations | <u>38</u> | <u>1,250</u> | <u>14</u> | <u>1,640</u> |
| Net income (loss) | <u>\$ 7,295</u> | <u>\$ (2,071)</u> | <u>\$ 12,222</u> | <u>\$ 1,298</u> |
| Basic earnings (loss) per common share: | | | | |
| From continuing operations | \$ 0.71 | \$ (0.33) | \$ 1.20 | \$ (0.03) |
| From discontinued operations | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.16 |
| Basic earnings (loss) per common share | <u>\$ 0.72</u> | <u>\$ (0.20)</u> | <u>\$ 1.20</u> | <u>\$ 0.13</u> |
| Diluted earnings (loss) per common share: | | | | |
| From continuing operations | \$ 0.71 | \$ (0.33) | \$ 1.19 | \$ (0.03) |
| From discontinued operations | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.16 |
| Diluted earnings (loss) per common share | <u>\$ 0.71</u> | <u>\$ (0.20)</u> | <u>\$ 1.19</u> | <u>\$ 0.13</u> |
| Dividends paid per common share | <u>\$ 0.030</u> | <u>\$ 0.025</u> | <u>\$ 0.060</u> | <u>\$ 0.050</u> |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

L. B. FOSTER COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME
(In thousands)

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|--------------------------------|------------------|------------------------------|----------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (Unaudited) | | (Unaudited) | |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 7,295 | \$(2,071) | \$12,222 | \$1,298 |
| Other comprehensive (loss) income , net of tax: | | | | |
| Foreign currency translation adjustment | (1,447) | 936 | (3,270) | 70 |
| Reversal of unrealized derivative gain upon cash flow hedge settlement (net of tax expense of \$-, \$5 and \$-, \$-) | — | (9) | — | — |
| Reclassification of pension liability adjustments to earnings * (net of tax expense: \$36, \$33 and \$72, \$67) | 70 | 65 | 139 | 131 |
| Other comprehensive (loss) income, net of tax: | (1,377) | 992 | (3,131) | 201 |
| Comprehensive income (loss) | <u>\$ 5,918</u> | <u>\$(1,079)</u> | <u>\$ 9,091</u> | <u>\$1,499</u> |

* Reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income for pension obligations are charged to selling and administrative expense.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

L. B. FOSTER COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(In thousands)

| | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|------------------------------|----------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| (Unaudited) | | |
| CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES: | | |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | \$ 12,208 | \$ (342) |
| Adjustments to reconcile income from continuing operations to net cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Deferred income taxes | (444) | (6,576) |
| Depreciation and amortization | 4,741 | 6,105 |
| Equity in income of nonconsolidated investment | (596) | (332) |
| Loss on sales and disposals of property, plant and equipment | 49 | 286 |
| Deferred gain amortization on sale-leaseback | — | (456) |
| Share-based compensation | 1,091 | 835 |
| Excess tax benefit from share-based compensation | (133) | (37) |
| Change in operating assets and liabilities: | | |
| Accounts receivable | (19,700) | (25,372) |
| Inventories | 7,994 | 5,840 |
| Other current assets | (840) | (1,194) |
| Prepaid income tax | (2,711) | 1,870 |
| Other noncurrent assets | 148 | 66 |
| Dividends from L B Pipe & Coupling Products, LLC | 468 | — |
| Accounts payable - trade | 2,214 | 7,815 |
| Deferred revenue | 2,483 | (807) |
| Accrued payroll and employee benefits | (2,766) | (2,360) |
| Other current liabilities | (4,274) | 18,700 |
| Other liabilities | (454) | (411) |
| Net cash (used) provided by continuing operating activities | <u>(522)</u> | <u>3,630</u> |
| Net cash provided (used) by discontinued operations | 229 | (1,141) |
| CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES: | | |
| Proceeds from the sale of property, plant and equipment | — | 7 |
| Capital expenditures on property, plant and equipment | <u>(3,126)</u> | <u>(4,810)</u> |
| Net cash used by continuing investing activities | <u>(3,126)</u> | <u>(4,803)</u> |
| Net cash provided by discontinued operations | — | 8,524 |

L. B. FOSTER COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(In thousands)

| | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|------------------------------|------------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (Unaudited) | |
| CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES: | | |
| Repayments of other long-term debt | (24) | (1,708) |
| Proceeds from exercise of stock options and stock awards | — | 13 |
| Treasury stock acquisitions | (610) | (645) |
| Cash dividends on common stock paid to shareholders | (620) | (514) |
| Excess tax benefit from share-based compensation | 133 | 37 |
| Net cash used by continuing financing activities | <u>(1,121)</u> | <u>(2,817)</u> |
| Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents | (2,246) | 123 |
| Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents | (6,786) | 3,516 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 101,464 | 73,727 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | <u>\$ 94,678</u> | <u>\$ 77,243</u> |
| Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information: | | |
| Interest paid | <u>\$ 175</u> | <u>\$ 197</u> |
| Income taxes paid | <u>\$ 8,558</u> | <u>\$ 6,276</u> |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

L. B. FOSTER COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Dollars in thousands, except share data unless otherwise noted)

The accompanying unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all estimates and adjustments (consisting of normal recurring accruals) considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. However, actual results could differ from those estimates. The results of operations for interim periods are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2013. Amounts included in the balance sheet as of December 31, 2012 were derived from our audited balance sheet. For further information, refer to the consolidated financial statements and footnotes thereto included in the Company's annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012. In this Form 10-Q, references to "we," "us," "our," "L.B. Foster," and the "Company" refer collectively to L.B. Foster Company and its consolidated subsidiaries.

During 2012, the Company sold substantially all of the assets and liabilities of its railway securement business, Shipping Systems Division (SSD), and its Precise Structural Products business, Precise. Certain amounts included in the prior year period Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements have been reclassified for comparative purposes to conform with the presentation of discontinued operations and other historical changes in the current year period.

2. RECENTLY ADOPTED AND ISSUED ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

On January 1, 2013, the Company adopted changes issued by the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) to the testing of indefinite-lived intangible assets for impairment. These changes provide an entity the option to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether the existence of events or circumstances leads to a determination that it is more likely than not (more than 50%) that the fair value of an indefinite-lived intangible asset is less than its carrying amount. Such qualitative factors may include the following: macroeconomic conditions; industry and market considerations; cost factors; overall financial performance; and other relevant entity-specific events. If an entity elects to perform a qualitative assessment and determines that an impairment is more likely than not, the entity is then required to perform the existing two-step quantitative impairment test, otherwise no further analysis is required. An entity also may elect not to perform the qualitative assessment and, instead, proceed directly to the two-step quantitative impairment test. Notwithstanding the adoption of these changes, management plans to proceed directly to the two-step quantitative test for the Company's indefinite-lived intangible assets. The adoption of these changes had no impact on the Company's Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

On January 1, 2013, the Company adopted changes issued by the FASB to the reporting of amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income. These changes require an entity to report the effect of significant reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income on the respective line items in net income if the amount being reclassified is required to be reclassified in its entirety to net income. For other amounts that are not required to be reclassified in their entirety to net income in the same reporting period, an entity is required to cross-reference other disclosures that provide additional detail about those amounts. These requirements are to be applied to each component of accumulated other comprehensive income. The adoption of these changes is displayed in the Company's Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income.

In March 2013, the FASB issued changes to a parent entity's accounting for the cumulative translation adjustment upon derecognition of certain subsidiaries or groups of assets within a foreign entity or of an investment in a foreign entity. A parent entity is required to release any related cumulative foreign currency translation adjustment from accumulated other comprehensive income into net income in the following circumstances: (i) a parent entity ceases to have a controlling financial interest in a subsidiary or group of assets that is a business within a foreign entity if the sale or transfer results in the complete or substantially complete liquidation of the foreign entity in which the subsidiary or group of assets had resided; (ii) a partial sale of an equity method investment that is a foreign entity; (iii) a partial sale of an equity method investment that is not a foreign entity whereby the partial sale represents a complete or substantially complete liquidation of the foreign entity that held the equity method investment; and (iv) the sale of an investment in a foreign entity. These changes become effective for the Company on January 1, 2014. Management has determined that the adoption of these changes will not have an impact on the Company's Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, unless the Company disposes of one of its foreign entities.

[Table of Contents](#)

3. BUSINESS SEGMENTS

The Company is a leading manufacturer, fabricator, and distributor of products and services for rail, construction, energy and utility markets. The Company is organized and evaluated by product group, which is the basis for identifying reportable segments. Each segment represents a revenue-producing component of the Company for which separate financial information is produced internally and which are subject to evaluation by the Company's chief operating decision maker in deciding how to allocate resources.

The following table illustrates revenues and profits from continuing operations of the Company by segment for the periods indicated:

| | Three Months Ended June 30, 2013 | | Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 | |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|
| | Net Sales | Segment Profit | Net Sales | Segment Profit |
| Rail products | \$ 90,892 | \$ 5,835 | \$172,291 | \$ 12,036 |
| Construction products | 43,697 | 2,056 | 80,508 | 2,518 |
| Tubular products | 15,347 | 4,547 | 26,458 | 7,154 |
| Total | <u>\$149,936</u> | <u>\$ 12,438</u> | <u>\$279,257</u> | <u>\$ 21,708</u> |

| | Three Months Ended June 30, 2012 | | Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 | |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|
| | Net Sales | Segment (Loss)/Profit | Net Sales | Segment (Loss)/Profit |
| Rail products | \$101,369 | \$ (9,610) | \$168,000 | \$ (6,161) |
| Construction products | 47,862 | 2,504 | 86,225 | 2,979 |
| Tubular products | 13,949 | 3,406 | 23,246 | 5,718 |
| Total | <u>\$163,180</u> | <u>\$ (3,700)</u> | <u>\$277,471</u> | <u>\$ 2,536</u> |

Segment profits from continuing operations, as shown above, include internal cost of capital charges for assets used in the segment at a rate of, generally, 1% per month. There has been no change in the measurement of segment profit from continuing operations from December 31, 2012. Internal cost of capital charges are eliminated during the consolidation process.

The following table provides a reconciliation of reportable segment net profit from continuing operations to the Company's consolidated total:

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|--------------------------------|------------------|------------------------------|----------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Income (loss) for reportable segments | \$12,438 | \$(3,700) | \$21,708 | \$ 2,536 |
| Interest expense | (125) | (123) | (258) | (263) |
| Interest income | 139 | 94 | 345 | 194 |
| Other income | 137 | 121 | 315 | 606 |
| LIFO expense | (14) | (53) | (254) | (99) |
| Equity in income of nonconsolidated investment | 420 | 309 | 596 | 332 |
| Corporate expense, cost of capital elimination and other unallocated charges | (1,900) | (1,238) | (3,913) | (3,403) |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes | <u>\$11,095</u> | <u>\$(4,590)</u> | <u>\$18,539</u> | <u>\$ (97)</u> |

[Table of Contents](#)

4. GOODWILL AND OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS

The carrying amount of goodwill at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 was \$41,237, of which \$38,026 is attributable to the Company's Rail Products segment and \$3,211 is attributable to the Construction Products segment.

The Company performs goodwill impairment tests at least annually if it is determined that it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than the carrying amount. Qualitative factors are assessed to determine whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than the carrying amount as a basis for determining whether it is necessary to perform the two-step goodwill impairment test. No goodwill impairment test was required in connection with these evaluations for the six months ended June 30, 2013. In 2012, the Company performed its annual evaluation of the carrying value of its goodwill during the fourth quarter of 2012. No goodwill impairment charge was required in connection with this evaluation in 2012.

As of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, identified intangible assets of \$2,305 are attributable to the Company's Construction Products segment. As of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, \$44,550 and \$44,506 are attributable to the Company's Rail Products segment, respectively. The components of the Company's intangible assets are as follows:

| | June 30, 2013 | | | Net Carrying Amount |
|------------------------|---|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|
| | Weighted Average Amortization Period In Years | Gross Carrying Value | Accumulated Amortization | |
| Non-compete agreements | 5 | \$ 380 | \$ (370) | \$ 10 |
| Patents | 10 | 859 | (441) | 418 |
| Customer relationships | 23 | 19,960 | (3,032) | 16,928 |
| Supplier relationships | 5 | 350 | (178) | 172 |
| Trademarks | 17 | 6,280 | (1,095) | 5,185 |
| Technology | 18 | 19,026 | (2,931) | 16,095 |
| | | <u>\$46,855</u> | <u>\$ (8,047)</u> | <u>\$38,808</u> |

| | December 31, 2012 | | | Net Carrying Amount |
|------------------------|---|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|
| | Weighted Average Amortization Period In Years | Gross Carrying Value | Accumulated Amortization | |
| Non-compete agreements | 5 | \$ 380 | \$ (367) | \$ 13 |
| Patents | 10 | 815 | (412) | 403 |
| Customer relationships | 23 | 19,960 | (2,488) | 17,472 |
| Supplier relationships | 5 | 350 | (143) | 207 |
| Trademarks | 17 | 6,280 | (879) | 5,401 |
| Technology | 18 | 19,026 | (2,357) | 16,669 |
| | | <u>\$46,811</u> | <u>\$ (6,646)</u> | <u>\$40,165</u> |

Intangible assets are amortized over their useful lives ranging from 5 to 25 years, with a total weighted average amortization period of approximately 20 years. Amortization expense from continuing operations for the three-month periods ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 were \$700 and \$697, respectively. Amortization expense from continuing operations for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 was \$1,401 and \$1,394, respectively.

[Table of Contents](#)

Estimated amortization expense from continuing operations for the remainder of 2013 and the years 2014 and thereafter is as follows:

| | <u>Amortization Expense</u> |
|---------------------|-----------------------------|
| 2013 | \$ 1,387 |
| 2014 | 2,785 |
| 2015 | 2,510 |
| 2016 | 2,417 |
| 2017 | 2,350 |
| 2018 and thereafter | 27,359 |
| | <u>\$ 38,808</u> |

5. ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE

Credit is extended based upon an evaluation of the customer's financial condition and while collateral is not required, the Company often receives surety bonds that guarantee payment. Credit terms are consistent with industry standards and practices. Trade accounts receivable from continuing operations at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 have been reduced by an allowance for doubtful accounts of \$894 and \$899, respectively.

6. INVENTORIES

Inventories of continuing operations of the Company at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 are summarized in the following table:

| | <u>June 30, 2013</u> | <u>December 31, 2012</u> |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|
| Finished goods | \$ 68,971 | \$ 78,715 |
| Work-in-process | 18,071 | 17,693 |
| Raw materials | 20,885 | 19,764 |
| Total inventories at current costs | 107,927 | 116,172 |
| Less: LIFO reserve | (9,318) | (9,064) |
| | <u>\$ 98,609</u> | <u>\$ 107,108</u> |

Inventories of the Company's continuing operations are generally valued at the lower of last-in, first-out (LIFO) cost or market. Other inventories of the Company are valued at average cost or market, whichever is lower. An actual valuation of inventory under the LIFO method is made at the end of each year based on the inventory levels and costs at that time. Interim LIFO calculations are based on management's estimates of expected year-end levels and costs.

7. INVESTMENTS

The Company is a member of a joint venture with L B Industries, Inc. and James Legg until June 30, 2019. The Company and L B Industries, Inc. each have a 45% ownership interest in the joint venture, L B Pipe & Coupling Products, LLC (JV). The JV manufactures, markets and sells various precision coupling products for the energy, utility and construction markets. Under the terms of the JV agreement, as amended, the Company was required to make capital contributions totaling approximately \$3,000. The Company fulfilled these commitments during 2011. The other JV members are required to make proportionate contributions in accordance with their ownership percentages in the JV.

Under applicable guidance for variable interest entities in ASC 810, "Consolidation," the Company determined that the JV is a variable interest entity. The Company concluded that it is not the primary beneficiary of the variable interest entity, as the Company does not have a controlling financial interest and does not have the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the economic performance of the JV. Accordingly, the Company concluded that the equity method of accounting remains appropriate.

As of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the Company had a nonconsolidated equity method investment of \$4,460 and \$4,332, respectively.

The Company recorded equity in the income of the JV of approximately \$420 and \$309 for the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. For the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, the Company recorded equity in the income of the JV of approximately \$596 and \$332, respectively.

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, each of the JV members received a proportional distribution of equity from the JV. The Company's 45% ownership interest resulted in a cash distribution of \$90 and \$468 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively. There were no changes to the members' ownership interests as a result of the distribution.

The Company's exposure to loss results from its capital contributions, net of the Company's share of the JV's income or loss, and its net investment in the direct financing lease covering the facility used by the JV for its operations. The carrying amounts with the maximum exposure to loss of the Company at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, are as follows:

| | June 30, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
|--|------------------|----------------------|
| Equity method investment | \$4,460 | \$ 4,332 |
| Net investment in direct financing lease | 1,277 | 1,327 |
| | <u>\$5,737</u> | <u>\$ 5,659</u> |

The Company is leasing five acres of land and two facilities to the JV over a period of 9.5 years, with a 5.5 year renewal period. In November 2012, the Company executed the first amendment to its lease with the JV. The amendment included the addition of a second facility built by the Company that was leased to the JV. The current monthly lease payments approximate \$17, with a balloon payment of approximately \$488, which is required to be paid either at the termination of the lease, allocated over the renewal period or during the initial term of the lease. This lease qualifies as a direct financing lease under the applicable guidance in ASC 840-30, "Leases." The Company maintained a net investment in this direct financing lease of approximately \$1,277 and \$1,327 at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

Table of Contents

The following is a schedule of the direct financing minimum lease payments for the remainder of 2013 and the years 2014 and thereafter:

| | <u>Minimum Lease Payments</u> |
|---------------------|-------------------------------|
| 2013 | \$ 56 |
| 2014 | 114 |
| 2015 | 122 |
| 2016 | 131 |
| 2017 | 140 |
| 2018 and thereafter | 714 |
| | <u>\$ 1,277</u> |

8. DEFERRED REVENUE

Deferred revenue of \$9,893 and \$7,447 as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, consists of customer payments received or contracts for which collectability is reasonably assured however all of the revenue recognition criteria have not yet been met. The Company has significantly fulfilled its obligations under the contracts, but due to the Company's continuing involvement with the material, revenue is precluded from being recognized until title passes to the customer.

9. BORROWINGS

United States

On May 2, 2011, the Company, its domestic subsidiaries, and certain of its Canadian subsidiaries entered into a new \$125,000 Revolving Credit Facility Credit Agreement (Credit Agreement) with PNC Bank, N.A., Bank of America, N.A., Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. and Citizens Bank of Pennsylvania. This Credit Agreement replaced a prior revolving credit facility with a maximum credit line of \$90,000 and a \$20,000 term loan. The Credit Agreement provides for a five-year, unsecured revolving credit facility that permits borrowing up to \$125,000 for the U.S. borrowers and a sublimit of the equivalent of \$15,000 U.S. dollars that is available to the Canadian borrowers. Provided no event of default exists, the Credit Agreement contains a provision that provides for an increase in the revolver facility of \$50,000 that can be allocated to existing or new lenders if the Company's borrowing requirements should increase. The Credit Agreement includes a sublimit of \$20,000 for the issuance of trade and standby letters of credit.

Borrowings under the Credit Agreement will bear interest at rates based upon either the base rate or LIBOR-based rate plus applicable margins. Applicable margins are dictated by the ratio of the Company's indebtedness, less cash on hand in excess of \$15,000, to the Company's consolidated EBITDA, as defined in the underlying Credit Agreement. The base rate is the highest of (a) PNC Bank's prime rate, (b) the Federal Funds Rate plus 0.50% or (c) the daily LIBOR rate, as defined in the underlying Credit Agreement, plus 1.00%. The base rate spread ranges from 0.00% to 1.00%. LIBOR-based rates are determined by dividing the published LIBOR rate by a number equal to 1.00 minus the percentage prescribed by the Federal Reserve for determining the maximum reserve requirements with respect to any Eurocurrency funding by banks on such day. The LIBOR-based rate spread ranges from 1.00% to 2.00%.

The Credit Agreement includes two financial covenants: (a) the Leverage Ratio, defined as the Company's Indebtedness, less cash on hand in excess of \$15,000, divided by the Company's consolidated EBITDA, which must not exceed 3.00 to 1.00 and (b) Minimum Interest Coverage, defined as consolidated EBITDA less Capital Expenditures divided by consolidated interest expense, which must be no less than 3.00 to 1.00.

The Credit Agreement permits the Company to pay dividends and distributions and make redemptions with respect to its stock provided no event of default or potential default (as defined in the Credit Agreement) has occurred prior to or after giving effect to the dividend, distribution, or redemption. Dividends, distributions, and redemptions are capped at \$15,000 per year when funds are drawn on the facility. If no drawings on the facility exist, dividends, distributions, and redemptions in excess of \$15,000 per year are subjected to a limitation of \$75,000 in the aggregate. The \$75,000 aggregate limitation also includes certain loans, investments, and acquisitions. The Company is permitted to acquire the stock or assets of other entities with limited restrictions, provided that the Leverage Ratio does not exceed 2.50 to 1.00 after giving effect to the acquisition.

Other restrictions exist at all times including, but not limited to, limitation of the Company's sale of assets, other indebtedness incurred by either the borrowers or the non-borrower subsidiaries of the Company, guaranties, and liens.

[Table of Contents](#)

On July 9, 2012, the Company amended the Credit Agreement to increase the limit applicable to the Company's sale of assets from \$10,000 to \$25,000.

As of June 30, 2013, the Company was in compliance with the Credit Agreement's covenants.

The Company had no outstanding borrowings under the revolving credit facility at June 30, 2013 or December 31, 2012 and had available borrowing capacity of \$124,144 at June 30, 2013.

Letters of Credit

At June 30, 2013, the Company had outstanding letters of credit of approximately \$856.

United Kingdom

A subsidiary of the Company has a working capital facility with NatWest Bank for its United Kingdom operations which includes an overdraft availability of £1,500 pounds sterling (approximately \$2,282 at June 30, 2013). This credit facility supports the subsidiary's working capital requirements and is collateralized by substantially all of the assets of its United Kingdom operations. The interest rate on this facility is the financial institution's base rate plus 1.50%. Outstanding performance bonds reduce availability under this credit facility. The subsidiary of the Company had no outstanding borrowings under this credit facility as of June 30, 2013. There was approximately \$175 in outstanding guarantees (as defined in the underlying agreement) at June 30, 2013. This credit facility was renewed effective August 30, 2012 with no significant changes to the underlying terms or conditions in the facility. The facility will expire on August 31, 2013, however it is the Company's intention to renew this credit facility with NatWest Bank during the annual review of the credit facility.

The United Kingdom loan agreements contain certain financial covenants that require that subsidiary to maintain senior interest and cash flow coverage ratios. The subsidiary was in compliance with these financial covenants as of June 30, 2013. The subsidiary had available borrowing capacity of \$2,107 at June 30, 2013.

10. DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

On June 4, 2012, the Company sold substantially all of the assets and liabilities of its railway securement business, SSD, for \$8,579, resulting in a pre-tax gain of \$3,508. As a result of the sale, the Company divested \$2,588 in goodwill attributed to the Rail Products segment in connection with the sale of its railway securement business. The goodwill balance was not deductible for income tax purposes. Intangible assets with net carrying value of \$170 were also included with this sale.

On August 30, 2012, the Company sold substantially all of the assets and liabilities of its precise structural products business (Precise), for \$2,643.

The operations of these divisions qualify as a "component of an entity" under FASB ASC 205-20, "Presentation of Financial Statements – Discontinued Operations" and thus, the operations have been reclassified as discontinued and prior periods have been reclassified to conform to this presentation. Future expenses of discontinued operations are not expected to be material.

[Table of Contents](#)

Net sales and income, including the prior year pre-tax gain of \$3,508, from discontinued operations were as follows:

| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|
| | June 30, | | June 30, | |
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Net sales | <u>\$ 69</u> | <u>\$ 3,307</u> | <u>\$ 73</u> | <u>\$ 7,543</u> |
| Income from discontinued operations | \$ 62 | \$ 3,543 | \$ 23 | \$ 4,147 |
| Income tax expense | <u>24</u> | <u>2,293</u> | <u>9</u> | <u>2,507</u> |
| Income from discontinued operations | <u>\$ 38</u> | <u>\$ 1,250</u> | <u>\$ 14</u> | <u>\$ 1,640</u> |

The income tax rates for discontinued operations in the prior year were significantly impacted by \$2,588 of goodwill allocated to discontinued operations which was not deductible for income tax purposes.

The Company maintained current assets from discontinued operations of \$195 and \$464 as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. Current liabilities related to discontinued operations were \$37 and \$106 as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

[Table of Contents](#)**11. EARNINGS PER COMMON SHARE**

(Average share data in thousands)

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted earnings per common share:

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|--------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------------|-----------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Numerator for basic and diluted earnings per common share - | | | | |
| Income (loss) available to common stockholders: | | | | |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | \$ 7,257 | \$ (3,321) | \$12,208 | \$ (342) |
| Income from discontinued operations | 38 | 1,250 | 14 | 1,640 |
| Net income (loss) | <u>\$ 7,295</u> | <u>\$ (2,071)</u> | <u>\$12,222</u> | <u>\$ 1,298</u> |
| Denominator: | | | | |
| Weighted average shares | 10,173 | 10,121 | 10,165 | 10,105 |
| Denominator for basic earnings per common share | 10,173 | 10,121 | 10,165 | 10,105 |
| Effect of dilutive securities: | | | | |
| Employee stock options | 12 | — | 12 | 18 |
| Other stock compensation plans | 68 | — | 61 | 71 |
| Dilutive potential common shares | 80 | — | 73 | 89 |
| Denominator for diluted earnings per common share -adjusted weighted average shares and assumed conversions | <u>10,253</u> | <u>10,121</u> | <u>10,238</u> | <u>10,194</u> |
| Basic earnings (loss) per common share: | | | | |
| Continuing operations | \$ 0.71 | \$ (0.33) | \$ 1.20 | \$ (0.03) |
| Discontinued operations | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.16 |
| Basic earnings (loss) per common share | <u>\$ 0.72</u> | <u>\$ (0.20)</u> | <u>\$ 1.20</u> | <u>\$ 0.13</u> |
| Diluted earnings (loss) per common share: | | | | |
| Continuing operations | \$ 0.71 | \$ (0.33) | \$ 1.19 | \$ (0.03) |
| Discontinued operations | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.16 |
| Diluted earnings (loss) per common share | <u>\$ 0.71</u> | <u>\$ (0.20)</u> | <u>\$ 1.19</u> | <u>\$ 0.13</u> |
| Dividends paid per common share | <u>\$ 0.030</u> | <u>\$ 0.025</u> | <u>\$ 0.060</u> | <u>\$ 0.050</u> |

The Company incurred a loss applicable to common shareholders in the three month period ended June 30, 2012. Due to the loss, the inclusion of dilutive securities in the calculation of weighted average common shares is anti-dilutive and there is no difference between basic and dilutive earnings per share. Anti-dilutive performance stock awards of approximately 6,000 shares for the six-month period ended June 30, 2012 were not included in the calculation of diluted earnings per share.

In February 2013, the Company's Board of Directors authorized an increase to the regular quarterly dividend to \$0.03 per common share.

12. STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

The Company applies the provisions of FASB ASC 718, "Compensation – Stock Compensation," to account for the Company's share-based compensation. Share-based compensation cost is measured at the grant date based on the calculated fair value of the award and is recognized over the employees' requisite service period. The Company recorded stock compensation expense of \$460 and \$96 for the three-month period ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, related to restricted stock awards and performance unit awards. Stock compensation expense of \$1,091 and \$835 was recorded for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Shares issued as a result of vested stock-based compensation generally will be from previously issued shares which have been reacquired by the Company and held as Treasury shares or authorized but previously unissued common stock.

The excess tax benefit realized for the tax deduction from stock-based compensation approximated \$133 and \$37 for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. This excess tax benefit is included in cash flows from financing activities in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows.

Stock Option Awards

A summary of the option activity as of June 30, 2013 is presented below.

| | Shares | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term | Aggregate Intrinsic Value (Dollars in thousands) |
|--|---------------|--|---|--|
| Outstanding and Exercisable at January 1, 2013 | 22,500 | \$ 10.41 | 2.2 | |
| Granted | — | — | — | |
| Canceled | — | — | — | |
| Exercised | — | — | — | |
| Outstanding and Exercisable at June 30, 2013 | <u>22,500</u> | <u>\$ 10.41</u> | <u>1.7</u> | <u>\$ 737</u> |

At June 30, 2013, common stock options outstanding and exercisable under the Company's equity plans had option prices ranging from \$7.81 to \$14.77, with a weighted average exercise price of \$10.41. At June 30, 2012, common stock options outstanding and exercisable under the Company's equity plans had option prices ranging from \$4.10 to \$14.77, with a weighted average exercise price of \$8.92 per share.

The total intrinsic value of stock options outstanding and exercisable at June 30, 2012 was \$758.

The weighted average remaining contractual life of the stock options outstanding at June 30, 2013 and 2012 was 1.7 and 2.3 years, respectively.

There were no stock options exercised during the six-month period ended June 30, 2013. There were 1,450 stock options with a weighted average exercise price per share of \$9.30 exercised during the six-month period ended June 30, 2012. The total intrinsic value of stock options exercised during the six-month period ended June 30, 2012 was \$30.

[Table of Contents](#)

Restricted Stock Awards

For the six-month periods ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, the Company granted approximately 13,000 and 92,000 shares, respectively, of restricted stock to employees. A summary of restricted stock award activity follows:

| <u>Grant Date</u> | <u>Shares</u> | <u>Grant Date Fair Value</u> | <u>Aggregate Fair Value (Dollars in Thousands)</u> |
|-------------------|---------------|----------------------------------|--|
| February 1, 2012 | 66,000 | \$ 30.15 | \$ 1,990 |
| March 6, 2012 | 18,347 | 27.49 | 504 |
| May 23, 2012 | 8,000 | 28.05 | 224 |
| February 27, 2013 | 12,973 | 42.49 | 551 |

These forfeitable restricted stock awards time-vest after a four-year holding period, unless indicated otherwise by the underlying restricted stock agreement. Certain awards of restricted stock included in the above table provide for incremental vesting over a period up to the vesting date listed.

Performance Unit Awards

Annually, under separate three-year long-term incentive plans, pursuant to the Omnibus Plan, the Company grants performance units. A summary of performance unit stock award activity follows:

| <u>Incentive Plan</u> | <u>Grant Date</u> | <u>Units</u> | <u>Grant Date Fair Value</u> | <u>Aggregate Fair Value (Dollars in Thousands)</u> |
|-----------------------|-------------------|--------------|----------------------------------|--|
| 2011 - 2013 | March 15, 2011 | 34,002 | \$ 38.46 | \$ 1,308 |
| 2012 - 2014 | March 6, 2012 | 43,042 | 27.49 | 1,183 |
| 2013 - 2015 | February 27, 2013 | 31,418 | 42.49 | 1,335 |

Performance units are subject to forfeiture, time-vest over a three year period and will be converted into common stock of the Company based upon the Company's performance relative to performance measures and conversion multiples as defined in the underlying plan. The aggregate fair value in the above table is based upon achieving 100% of the performance targets as defined in the underlying plan. During the three-month period ended June 30, 2012, the Company reversed \$1,157 of incentive compensation expense caused by the impact of a \$19,000 product warranty charge on plan performance conditions, as the vesting of the performance units was determined to be improbable at that time.

[Table of Contents](#)**13. RETIREMENT PLANS****Retirement Plans**

The Company has five retirement plans which cover its hourly and salaried employees in the United States: three defined benefit plans (one active / two frozen) and two defined contribution plans. Employees are eligible to participate in the appropriate plan based on employment classification. The Company's funding to the defined benefit and defined contribution plans are governed by the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (ERISA), applicable plan policy and investment guidelines. The Company policy is to contribute at least the minimum in accordance with the funding standards of ERISA.

The Company's subsidiary, L.B. Foster Rail Technologies, Inc. (Rail Technologies), maintains two defined contribution plans for its employees in Canada, as well as a post-retirement benefit plan. In the United Kingdom, Rail Technologies maintains both a defined contribution plan and a defined benefit plan. These plans are discussed in further detail below.

United States Defined Benefit Plans

Net periodic pension costs for the United States defined benefit pension plans for the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 are as follows:

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------|------------------------------|--------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Service cost | \$ 9 | \$ 8 | \$ 17 | \$ 16 |
| Interest cost | 176 | 311 | 353 | 621 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (214) | (338) | (428) | (673) |
| Recognized net actuarial loss | 53 | 56 | 106 | 112 |
| Net periodic benefit cost | <u>\$ 24</u> | <u>\$ 37</u> | <u>\$ 48</u> | <u>\$ 76</u> |

The Company expects to contribute approximately \$555 to its United States defined benefit plans in 2013. For the six months ended June 30, 2013, the Company contributed approximately \$166.

United Kingdom Defined Benefit Plan

Net periodic pension costs for the United Kingdom defined benefit pension plan for the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 are as follows:

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------|------------------------------|---------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Interest cost | \$ 80 | \$ 80 | \$ 160 | \$ 163 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (68) | (68) | (136) | (139) |
| Amortization of transition amount | (11) | (12) | (22) | (24) |
| Recognized net actuarial loss | 53 | 52 | 106 | 107 |
| Net periodic cost | <u>\$ 54</u> | <u>\$ 52</u> | <u>\$ 108</u> | <u>\$ 107</u> |

United Kingdom regulations require trustees to adopt a prudent approach to funding required contributions to defined benefit pension plans. Employer contributions of \$248 are anticipated to the United Kingdom L.B. Foster Rail Technologies, Inc. pension plan during 2013. For the six months ended June 30, 2013, the Company contributed approximately \$111 to the plan.

[Table of Contents](#)

Defined Contribution Plans

The Company has a domestic defined contribution plan that covers all non-union hourly and all salaried employees (Salaried Plan). The Salaried Plan permits both pre-tax and after-tax employee contributions. Participants can contribute, subject to statutory limitations, between 1% and 75% of eligible pre-tax pay and between 1% and 100% of eligible after-tax pay. The Company's employer match is 100% of the first 1% of deferred eligible compensation and up to 50% of the next 6%, based on years of service, of deferred eligible compensation, for a total maximum potential match of 4%. The Company may also make discretionary contributions to the Salaried Plan.

The Company also has a domestic defined contribution plan for union hourly employees with contributions made by both the participants and the Company based on various formulas (Union Plan).

Rail Technologies, maintains a defined contribution plan covering all non-union employees at its Montreal, Quebec, Canada location (Montreal Plan). Under the terms of the Montreal Plan, the employer may contribute 4% of each employee's compensation as a non-elective contribution and may also contribute 30% of the first 6% of each employee's compensation contributed to the Montreal Plan.

The subsidiary also maintains a defined contribution plan covering substantially all employees at its United Kingdom locations (U.K. Plan). Benefits under the U.K. Plan are provided under no formal written agreement. Under the terms of the defined contribution U.K. Plan, the employer may make non-elective contributions of between 3% and 10% of each employee's compensation.

Finally, Rail Technologies maintains a defined contribution plan covering substantially all of the employees in Burnaby, British Columbia, Canada, a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company (Burnaby Plan). Under the terms of the Burnaby Plan, the employer may contribute 4% of each employee's compensation as a non-elective contribution and may also contribute 30% of the first 6% of each employee's compensation contributed to the Burnaby Plan.

The following table summarizes the expense associated with the contributions made to these plans.

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---------------|--------------------------------|---------------|------------------------------|----------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Salaried Plan | \$ 495 | \$ 620 | \$ 944 | \$1,075 |
| Union Plan | 19 | 19 | 35 | 36 |
| Montreal Plan | 25 | 32 | 60 | 59 |
| U.K. Plan | 35 | 16 | 68 | 30 |
| Burnaby Plan | 34 | 31 | 77 | 77 |
| | <u>\$ 608</u> | <u>\$ 718</u> | <u>\$1,184</u> | <u>\$1,277</u> |

14. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

FASB ASC 820, "Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures," defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. The Company applies the provisions of ASC 820 to all its assets and liabilities that are being measured and reported on a fair value basis.

ASC 820 discusses valuation techniques, such as the market approach (comparable market prices), the income approach (present value of future income or cash flow) and the cost approach (cost to replace the service capacity of an asset or replacement cost). ASC 820 enables readers of financial statements to assess the inputs used to develop those measurements by establishing a hierarchy, which prioritizes those inputs used, for ranking the quality and reliability of the information used to determine fair values. The standard requires that each asset and liability carried at fair value be classified into one of the following categories:

Level 1: Quoted market prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2: Observable market-based inputs or unobservable inputs that are corroborated by market data.

Level 3: Unobservable inputs that are not corroborated by market data.

The Company has an established process for determining fair value for its financial assets and liabilities, principally cash and cash equivalents and foreign currency exchange contracts. Fair value is based on quoted market prices, where available. If quoted market prices are not available, fair value is based on assumptions that use as inputs market-based

[Table of Contents](#)

parameters. The following sections describe the valuation methodologies used by the Company to measure different financial instruments at fair value, including an indication of the level in the fair value hierarchy in which each instrument is generally classified. Where appropriate the description includes details of the key inputs to the valuations and any significant assumptions.

Cash equivalents. Included within “Cash and cash equivalents” are highly liquid investments in money market funds with various underlying securities all of which maintain AAA credit ratings. Also included within cash equivalents are our highly liquid investments in non-domestic bank term deposits. The Company uses quoted market prices to determine the fair value of these investments and they are classified in Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy. The carrying amounts approximate fair value because of the short maturity of the instruments.

The following assets and liabilities of the Company were measured at fair value on a recurring basis subject to the disclosure requirements of ASC Topic 820 at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

| | June 30, 2013 | Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using | | |
|---------------------------------|------------------|--|---|---|
| | | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) |
| Assets | | | | |
| Domestic money market funds | \$ 56,500 | \$ 56,500 | \$ — | \$ — |
| Non domestic bank term deposits | 28,957 | 28,957 | — | — |
| Cash equivalents at fair value | 85,457 | 85,457 | — | — |
| Total Assets | \$ 85,457 | \$ 85,457 | \$ — | \$ — |

| | December 31, 2012 | Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using | | |
|---------------------------------|-------------------|--|---|---|
| | | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) |
| Assets | | | | |
| Domestic money market funds | \$ 58,620 | \$ 58,620 | \$ — | \$ — |
| Non domestic bank term deposits | 26,045 | 26,045 | — | — |
| Cash equivalents at fair value | 84,665 | 84,665 | — | — |
| Total Assets | \$ 84,665 | \$ 84,665 | \$ — | \$ — |

Table of Contents

15. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENT LIABILITIES

Product Liability Claims

The Company is subject to product warranty claims that arise in the ordinary course of its business. For certain manufactured products, the Company maintains a product warranty accrual which is adjusted on a monthly basis as a percentage of cost of sales. This product warranty accrual is periodically adjusted based on the identification or resolution of known individual product warranty claims. The following table sets forth the Company's continuing operations product warranty accrual:

| | <u>Warranty Liability</u> |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Balance at December 31, 2012 | \$ 15,727 |
| Additions to warranty liability | 829 |
| Warranty liability utilized | (4,741) |
| Balance at June 30, 2013 | <u>\$ 11,815</u> |

Included within the above table are concrete tie warranty reserves of approximately \$11,021 and \$14,837 as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

The Company continues to work with the Union Pacific Railroad (UPRR) to identify and replace defective ties related to the warranty claim asserted under CXT Incorporated's (CXT) 2005 supply contract. During the six months ended June 30, 2013 there were no changes to the Company's estimate of the number of defective concrete ties that will ultimately require replacement. Replaced ties have been deducted from the Company's warranty liability.

While the Company believes this is a reasonable estimate of the potential warranty claims, these estimates could change due to the emergence of new information and/or future events. There can be no assurance at this point that future potential costs pertaining to these claims or other potential future claims will not have a material impact on the Company's results of operations.

Environmental and Legal Proceedings

The Company is subject to national, state, foreign, provincial and/or local laws and regulations relating to the protection of the environment. The Company's efforts to comply with environmental regulations may have an adverse effect on its future earnings. In the opinion of management, compliance with the present environmental protection laws will not have a material adverse effect on the financial condition, results of operations, cash flows, competitive position or capital expenditures of the Company.

The Company is also subject to legal proceedings and claims that arise in the ordinary course of its business. In the opinion of management, the amount of ultimate liability with respect to these actions will not materially affect the financial condition or liquidity of the Company. The resolution, in any reporting period, of one or more of these matters could have a material effect on the Company's results of operations for that period.

As of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the Company maintained environmental and litigation reserves approximating \$2,133 and \$2,141, respectively.

On January 11, 2012, CXT received a subpoena from the United States Department of Transportation Inspector General (IG) requesting records related to its manufacture of concrete railroad ties in Grand Island, NE. CXT and the Company have been cooperating fully with the IG.

16. DERIVATIVE FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS AND HEDGING ACTIVITIES

The Company does not purchase or hold any derivative financial instruments for trading purposes.

At contract inception, the Company designates its derivative instruments as hedges. The Company recognizes all derivative instruments on the balance sheet at fair value. Fluctuations in the fair values of derivative instruments designated as cash flow hedges are recorded in accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income and reclassified into earnings within other income as the underlying hedged items affect earnings. To the extent that a change in the derivative does not perfectly offset the change in value of the risk being hedged, the ineffective portion is recognized in earnings immediately.

Table of Contents

The Company is subject to exposures to changes in foreign currency exchange rates. The Company may manage its exposure to changes in foreign currency exchange rates on firm sale and purchase commitments by entering into foreign currency forward contracts. The Company's risk management objective is to reduce its exposure to the effects of changes in exchange rates on these transactions over the duration of the transactions.

The Company did not engage in any foreign currency hedging transactions during the six-month period ended June 30, 2013. During the first quarter of 2012, the Company entered into commitments with notional amounts totaling approximately \$742 to buy Euro funds based on the anticipated receipt of Euro funds from the purchase of certain steel piling in the second quarter of 2012. During the second quarter of 2012, the Company settled this contract for a recognized loss that did not exceed \$100.

17. INCOME TAXES

The Company's effective income tax rate from continuing operations for the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2013 was 34.6% and 34.1%, respectively and 27.6% and (252.6%) for the quarter and six month ended June 30, 2012, respectively. The Company's effective income tax rate for the quarter and six months ended June, 30 2013 was primarily driven by state income taxes, U.S. domestic production activities deductions and operations in foreign jurisdictions with lower statutory tax rates. The effective income tax rate for the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2012 were significantly impacted by discrete tax items as a result of the impact of the \$19,000 warranty charge recorded during the quarter ended June 30, 2012 on pre-tax income.

18. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

Management evaluated all activity of the Company and concluded that no subsequent events have occurred that would require recognition in the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements or disclosure in the Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Item 2. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

(Dollars in thousands, except share data)

Forward-Looking Statements

This Form 10-Q contains “forward looking” statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Forward-looking statements are statements that do not relate strictly to historical or current facts. Sentences containing words such as “believe,” “intend,” “expect,” “may,” “should,” “anticipate,” “could,” “estimate,” “plan,” “predict,” “project,” or their negatives, or other similar expressions generally should be considered forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements in this quarterly report on Form 10-Q may concern, among other things, the Company’s expectations regarding our strategy, goals, projections and plans regarding our financial position, liquidity and capital resources, the outcome of litigation including the Inspector General subpoena, results of operations, decisions regarding our strategic growth strategies, market position, and product development, all of which are based on current estimates that involve inherent risks and uncertainties. L.B. Foster cautions readers that various factors could cause the actual results of the Company to differ materially from those indicated by forward-looking statements. Accordingly, investors should not place undue reliance on forward-looking statements as a prediction of actual results. Among the factors that could cause the actual results to differ materially from those indicated in the forward-looking statements are risks and uncertainties related to: general business conditions, a decrease in freight or passenger rail traffic, a lack of state or federal funding for new infrastructure projects, the availability of material from major suppliers, labor disputes, the impact of competition, variances in current accounting estimates and their ultimate outcomes, the seasonality of the Company’s business, the adequacy of internal and external sources of funds to meet financing needs, the Company’s ability to curb its working capital requirements, income taxes, foreign currency fluctuations, inflation, the ultimate number of concrete ties that will have to be replaced pursuant to product claims, and domestic and foreign governmental regulations. Should one or more of these risks or uncertainties materialize, or should the assumptions underlying the forward-looking statements prove incorrect, actual outcomes could vary materially from those indicated. The risks and uncertainties that may affect the operations, performance and results of the Company’s business and forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, those set forth under Item 1A, “Risk Factors” Section of our Annual Report on Form 10-K and in our other periodic filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

The forward looking statements in this report are made as of the date of this report and we assume no obligation to update or revise any forward looking statement, whether as a result of new information, future developments or otherwise.

General Overview

L.B. Foster Company (Company) is a leading manufacturer, fabricator, and distributor of products and services for rail, construction, energy and utility markets. The Company is comprised of three business segments: Rail Products, Construction Products and Tubular Products.

The Shipping Systems Division and Precise Structural Products business were sold during the prior year and have been reclassified as discontinued operations within the results of operations. The following discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations relates only to our continuing operations. More information regarding the results of discontinued operations can be found in Note 10 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Quarterly Results of Continuing Operations

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Percent of Total Net Sales Three Months Ended June 30, | | Percent Increase/(Decrease) 2013 vs. 2012 |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------|--|--------|---|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 | |
| Net Sales: | | | | | |
| Rail Products | \$ 90,892 | \$ 101,369 | 60.6% | 62.1% | (10.3)% |
| Construction Products | 43,697 | 47,862 | 29.1 | 29.3 | (8.7) |
| Tubular Products | 15,347 | 13,949 | 10.2 | 8.5 | 10.0 |
| Total net sales | <u>\$ 149,936</u> | <u>\$ 163,180</u> | 100.0% | 100.0% | (8.1)% |

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Gross Profit Percentage Three Months Ended June 30, | | Percent Increase/(Decrease) 2013 vs. 2012 |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------|------------------|---|-------|---|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 | |
| Gross Profit: | | | | | |
| Rail Products | \$ 17,466 | \$ 1,798 | 19.2% | 1.8% | ***% |
| Construction Products | 6,665 | 7,019 | 15.3 | 14.7 | (5.0) |
| Tubular Products | 5,237 | 4,039 | 34.1 | 29.0 | 29.7 |
| LIFO expense | (14) | (53) | (0.0) | (0.0) | ** |
| Other | (179) | (469) | (0.1) | (0.3) | (61.8) |
| Total gross profit | <u>\$ 29,175</u> | <u>\$ 12,334</u> | 19.5% | 7.6% | 136.5% |

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Percent of Total Net Sales Three Months Ended June 30, | | Percent Increase/(Decrease) 2013 vs. 2012 |
|---|--------------------------------|-------------------|--|--------|---|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 | |
| Expenses: | | | | | |
| Selling and administrative expenses | \$ 17,951 | \$ 16,628 | 12.0% | 10.2% | 8.0% |
| Amortization expense | 700 | 697 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| Interest expense | 125 | 123 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 1.6 |
| Interest income | (139) | (94) | (0.1) | (0.1) | 47.9 |
| Equity in income of nonconsolidated investment | (420) | (309) | (0.3) | (0.2) | 35.9 |
| Other income | (137) | (121) | (0.1) | (0.1) | 13.2 |
| Total expenses | <u>18,080</u> | <u>16,924</u> | 12.1 | 10.4 | 6.8 |
| Income from continuing operations before income taxes | 11,095 | (4,590) | 7.4% | (2.8)% | ***% |
| Income tax expense | 3,838 | (1,269) | 2.6 | (0.8) | ** |
| Income from continuing operations | <u>\$ 7,257</u> | <u>\$ (3,321)</u> | 4.8% | (2.0)% | ***% |

** Results of calculation are not considered meaningful for presentation purposes.

[Table of Contents](#)

Second Quarter 2013 Compared to Second Quarter 2012 – Company Analysis

Income from continuing operations for the second quarter of 2013 was \$7,257, or \$0.71 per diluted share, compared to a loss from continuing operations of \$3,321, or a \$0.33 loss per diluted share, in the prior year quarter. Included within the 2012 loss from continuing operations was a nonrecurring charge of \$19,000 related to a product warranty claim. Net sales for the three month period ended June 30, 2013 decreased to \$149,936, or 8.1%, which was attributable to a 10.3% decrease in Rail Products segment sales and an 8.7% reduction in Construction Products segment sales, partially offset by a 10.0% increase in Tubular Products segment sales.

The gross profit margin in the 2013 second quarter was 19.5% compared to 7.6% during the prior year quarter. The increase was due principally to the \$19,000 concrete tie warranty charge taken during the second quarter of 2012. Excluding the charge, gross profit would have improved 26 basis points from 19.2 in the prior year quarter.

Selling and administrative expenses increased by \$1,323, or 8.0% from the prior year quarter. Increases related to salaried headcount and travel costs were incurred for the quarter ended June 30, 2013 compared to the preceding year's quarter. Partially offsetting these costs were expenses related to concrete tie testing performed during the second quarter of 2012.

The Company's effective income tax rate from continuing operations in the 2013 second quarter was 34.6%, compared to 27.6% in the prior year quarter. The Company's effective income tax rate in the 2013 second quarter was primarily driven by state income taxes, U.S. domestic production activities deductions and operations in foreign jurisdictions with lower statutory tax rates. Changes in effective tax rates were related to a shift in the Company's global mix of income and discrete tax items which has a significant impact on the rate as a result of the prior year quarter impact of the concrete tie warranty charge of \$19,000 on pre-tax income.

Results of Continuing Operations – Segment Analysis

Rail Products

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | (Decrease)/ Increase | Percent |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 vs. 2012 | (Decrease)/Increase 2013 vs. 2012 |
| Net Sales | <u>\$90,892</u> | <u>\$101,369</u> | <u>\$ (10,477)</u> | <u>(10.3)%</u> |
| Gross Profit | <u>\$17,466</u> | <u>\$ 1,798</u> | <u>\$ 15,668</u> | <u>871.4%</u> |
| Gross Profit Percentage | <u>19.2%</u> | <u>1.8%</u> | <u>17.4%</u> | <u>983.4%</u> |

Second Quarter 2013 Compared to Second Quarter 2012

The sales decline within the Rail Products segment related primarily to a reduction in sales within our precast concrete tie and rail technologies divisions slightly offset by increased sales within our transit products division.

While net sales decreased by 10.3%, the gross profit percentage increased by 17.4% due to the prior year quarter product warranty charge of \$19,000 related to concrete ties. Excluding the impact of the warranty costs, the gross profit percentage would have declined 1.3% compared to the prior year period. The quarter over quarter reduction is primarily due to project mix, including the Honolulu project, and competitive pricing in the rail distribution division.

During the quarter, the Company continued to work with the Union Pacific Railroad (UPRR) to identify and replace defective ties related to the warranty claim under CXT Incorporated's (CXT) 2005 supply contract. During the three months ended June 30, 2013 there were no changes to the Company's estimate of the number of defective concrete ties that will ultimately require replacement. Replaced ties have been deducted from the Company's warranty liability.

[Table of Contents](#)**Construction Products**

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | (Decrease) / Increase | Percent |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------|----------|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 vs. 2012 | (Decrease)/Increase 2013 vs. 2012 |
| Net Sales | \$43,697 | \$47,862 | \$ (4,165) | (8.7)% |
| Gross Profit | \$ 6,665 | \$ 7,019 | \$ (354) | (5.0)% |
| Gross Profit Percentage | 15.3% | 14.7% | 0.6% | 4.0% |

Second Quarter 2013 Compared to Second Quarter 2012

For the three months ended June 30, 2013 the Construction Products segment experienced a reduction in revenues of \$4,165 over the prior year quarter. The 8.7% reduction in sales was primarily a result of declines in fabricated bridge products and piling products. Factors impacting this reduction relate to state and local government budget constraints, a slow to develop non-residential market and the negative impact of the federal government's sequestration.

Although net sales declined by \$4,165, the gross profit percentage increased 60 basis points due principally to project management efficiencies within all three businesses of this segment.

Tubular Products

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Increase | Percent Increase |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------|----------|---------------|---------------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 vs. 2012 | 2013 vs. 2012 |
| Net Sales | \$15,347 | \$13,949 | \$ 1,398 | 10.0% |
| Gross Profit | \$ 5,237 | \$ 4,039 | \$ 1,198 | 29.7% |
| Gross Profit Percentage | 34.1% | 29.0% | 5.2% | 17.8% |

Second Quarter 2013 Compared to Second Quarter 2012

Net sales reported by our Tubular Products segment increased approximately 10% over the prior year period. This increase was attributable to growth in coated products for gas pipeline applications. The improvement in gross profit was attributable to growth in volumes, favorable material pricing and manufacturing efficiencies within our threaded and coated product divisions.

[Table of Contents](#)

Year-to-date Results of Continuing Operations

| | Six Months Ended June 30, | | Percent of Total Net Sales Six Months Ended June 30, | | Percent Increase/(Decrease) 2013 vs. 2012 |
|-----------------------|------------------------------|-------------------|--|--------|---|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 | |
| Net Sales: | | | | | |
| Rail Products | \$ 172,291 | \$ 168,000 | 61.7% | 60.5% | 2.6% |
| Construction Products | 80,508 | 86,225 | 28.8 | 31.1 | (6.6) |
| Tubular Products | 26,458 | 23,246 | 9.5 | 8.4 | 13.8 |
| Total net sales | <u>\$ 279,257</u> | <u>\$ 277,471</u> | 100.0% | 100.0% | 0.6% |

| | Six Months Ended June 30, | | Gross Profit Percentage Six Months Ended June 30, | | Percent Increase/(Decrease) 2013 vs. 2012 |
|-----------------------|------------------------------|------------------|---|-------|---|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 | |
| Gross Profit: | | | | | |
| Rail Products | \$ 34,499 | \$ 16,205 | 20.0% | 9.6% | 112.9% |
| Construction Products | 11,636 | 12,023 | 14.5 | 13.9 | (3.2) |
| Tubular Products | 8,452 | 6,734 | 31.9 | 29.0 | 25.5 |
| LIFO expense | (254) | (99) | (0.1) | (0.0) | ** |
| Other | (310) | (877) | (0.1) | (0.3) | (64.7) |
| Total gross profit | <u>\$ 54,023</u> | <u>\$ 33,986</u> | 19.3% | 12.2% | 59.0% |

| | Six Months Ended June 30, | | Percent of Total Net Sales Six Months Ended June 30, | | Percent Increase/(Decrease) 2013 vs. 2012 |
|---|------------------------------|-----------------|--|--------|---|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 | |
| Expenses: | | | | | |
| Selling and administrative expenses | \$ 35,081 | \$ 33,558 | 12.6% | 12.1% | 4.5% |
| Amortization expense | 1,401 | 1,394 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| Interest expense | 258 | 263 | 0.1 | 0.1 | (1.9) |
| Interest income | (345) | (194) | (0.1) | (0.1) | 77.8 |
| Equity in income of nonconsolidated investment | (596) | (332) | (0.2) | (0.1) | 79.5 |
| Other income | (315) | (606) | (0.1) | (0.2) | (48.0) |
| Total expenses | <u>35,484</u> | <u>34,083</u> | 12.7 | 12.3 | 4.1 |
| Income from continuing operations before income taxes | 18,539 | (97) | 6.6% | (0.0)% | **0% |
| Income tax expense | 6,331 | 245 | 2.3 | 0.1 | ** |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | <u>\$ 12,208</u> | <u>\$ (342)</u> | 4.4% | (0.1)% | **0% |

** Results of calculation are not considered meaningful for presentation purposes.

[Table of Contents](#)

First Six Months of 2013 Compared to First Six Months of 2012 – Company Analysis

Income from continuing operations for the first six months of 2013 was \$12,208, or \$1.19 per diluted share, which compares to a loss from continuing operations for the 2012 period of \$342, or a loss of \$0.03 per diluted share. Included within gross profit in the 2012 period was a nonrecurring charge of \$19,000 related to a product warranty charge.

Selling and administrative expenses increased by \$1,523 over the prior year period. The cost increases for the six months ended June 30, 2013 related to salaried headcount, travel and research and development costs partially offset by a reduction in concrete tie testing costs.

The Company's effective income tax rate from continuing operations for the first six months of 2013 was 34.1%, compared to (252.6%) in the prior year period. The Company's effective income tax rate in the 2013 second quarter was primarily driven by state income taxes, U.S. domestic production activities deductions and operations in foreign jurisdictions with lower statutory tax rates. Changes in effective tax rates were related to a shift in the Company's global mix of income and discrete tax items which had a significant impact on the rate as a result of the prior year period impact of the concrete tie warranty charge of \$19,000 on pre-tax income.

Results of Continuing Operations – Segment Analysis

Rail Products

| | Six Months Ended June 30, | | Increase 2013 vs. 2012 | Percent Increase 2013 vs. 2012 |
|-------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | | |
| Net Sales | <u>\$ 172,291</u> | <u>\$ 168,000</u> | <u>\$ 4,291</u> | <u>2.6%</u> |
| Gross Profit | <u>\$ 34,499</u> | <u>\$ 16,205</u> | <u>\$ 18,294</u> | <u>112.9%</u> |
| Gross Profit Percentage | <u>20.0%</u> | <u>9.6%</u> | <u>10.4%</u> | <u>107.6%</u> |

First Six Months of 2013 Compared to First Six Months of 2012

The sales improvement within the Rail Products segment was primarily attributable to increased first quarter volumes generated within the rail distribution and transit products division. The increase includes products sold for the Honolulu, HI elevated transit system project. The project was awarded in June 2012 and is being managed by the rail distribution, transit and concrete rail products divisions. Offsetting the increased performance during the first quarter were sales reductions related to our precast concrete tie and rail technologies divisions.

The gross profit percentage increased by 10.4% over the preceding year period principally due to the aforementioned \$19,000 product warranty charge.

Excluding the impact of the warranty charge, the gross profit percentage in 2013 would have been approximately 1.0% less than the prior year period percentage. The reduction is primarily due to project mix, including the Honolulu project, and competitive pricing in the rail distribution division.

[Table of Contents](#)**Construction Products**

| | Six Months Ended June 30, | | (Decrease)/ Increase | Percent (Decrease)/Increase |
|-------------------------|------------------------------|----------|-------------------------|--------------------------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 vs. 2012 | 2013 vs. 2012 |
| Net Sales | \$80,508 | \$86,225 | \$ (5,717) | (6.6)% |
| Gross Profit | \$11,636 | \$12,023 | \$ (387) | (3.2)% |
| Gross Profit Percentage | 14.5% | 13.9% | 0.5% | 3.7% |

First Six Months of 2013 Compared to First Six Months of 2012

For the six months ended June 30, 2013 the Construction Products segment experienced a reduction in revenues of \$5,717 over the prior year period. The reduction in sales was primarily a result of declines in the fabricated bridge products and piling divisions' sales partially offset by increased sales within the concrete buildings division. The primary factors impacting this reduction relate to state and local government funding constraints, a slow to develop non-residential market and the negative impact of the federal government's sequestration.

Although net sales declined by 6.6% the gross profit percentage increased 50 basis points due principally to efficient project management.

Tubular Products

| | Six Months Ended June 30, | | Increase | Percent Increase |
|-------------------------|------------------------------|----------|---------------|---------------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 vs. 2012 | 2013 vs. 2012 |
| Net Sales | \$26,458 | \$23,246 | \$ 3,212 | 13.8% |
| Gross Profit | \$ 8,452 | \$ 6,734 | \$ 1,718 | 25.5% |
| Gross Profit Percentage | 31.9% | 29.0% | 3.0% | 10.3% |

First Six Months of 2013 Compared to First Six Months of 2012

Net sales reported by our Tubular Products segment increased approximately 13.8% over the prior year period. This increase, as well as the improvement in gross profit, was attributable to growth in volumes at both our threaded products and coated products divisions. The gross margin increase is a result of increased production efficiencies at both divisions. The favorable year over year comparison is expected to turn negative in the second half of the year, given our significantly reduced backlog in the coated products division. This division experienced lower order input in the second quarter as customers delayed purchasing decisions based on project need, and to recognize benefits in cost and inventory.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Total debt related to capital lease obligations was \$38 and \$62 as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

Our need for liquidity relates primarily to seasonal working capital requirements for continuing operations, capital expenditures, joint venture capital obligations, strategic investments or acquisitions, debt service obligations, share repurchases and dividends.

The following table summarizes the year-to-date impact of these items:

| | 2013 | June 30, 2012 |
|--|-------------------|------------------|
| Liquidity needs: | | |
| Working capital and other assets and liabilities | \$(17,906) | \$ 4,147 |
| Capital expenditures | (3,126) | (4,810) |
| Other long-term debt repayments | (24) | (1,708) |
| Treasury stock acquisitions | (610) | (645) |
| Dividends paid to common shareholders | (620) | (514) |
| Cash interest paid | (175) | (197) |
| Net liquidity requirements | <u>(22,461)</u> | <u>(3,727)</u> |
| Liquidity sources: | | |
| Internally generated cash flows before interest paid | 17,091 | (320) |
| Dividends from L B Pipe & Coupling Products, LLC | 468 | — |
| Proceeds from asset sales | — | 7 |
| Equity transactions | 133 | 50 |
| Foreign exchange effects | (2,246) | 123 |
| Net liquidity sources | <u>15,446</u> | <u>(140)</u> |
| Discontinued operations | 229 | 7,383 |
| Net Change in Cash | <u>\$ (6,786)</u> | <u>\$ 3,516</u> |

Cash Flow from Continuing Operating Activities

During the six months ended 2013 and 2012, cash flows from continuing operations used \$522 and provided \$3,630, respectively. For the six months ended June 30, 2013, income and adjustments to income from continuing operations provided \$16,916 compared to a use of \$517 in the 2012 period. Working capital and other assets and liabilities used \$17,906 in the current year period and provided \$4,147 in the prior year period.

The Company's calculation for days sales outstanding at June 30, 2013 was 44 days compared to 41 days at December 31, 2012 and we believe our receivable portfolio is strong.

[Table of Contents](#)

Cash Flow from Continuing Investing Activities

Capital expenditures were \$3,126 for the first six months of 2013 compared to \$4,810 for the same 2012 period. The 2013 year to date expenditures related to miscellaneous improvements to our machinery and equipment across each segment whereas prior year capital expenditures were primarily used for our Burnaby, British Columbia, Canada facility, our new threaded products facility, and other yard and plant upgrades. We anticipate total capital spending in 2013 will range between \$7,000 and \$8,000 and will be funded by cash flow from continuing operations.

Cash Flow from Financing Activities

We did not purchase any common shares of the Company under our existing share repurchase authorization. However, during the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, we withheld 13,887 and 22,791 shares for approximately \$610 and \$645, respectively, from employees to pay their withholding taxes in connection with the exercise and/or vesting of options and restricted stock awards. Cash outflows related to dividends were approximately \$620 and \$514 for the periods ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The increase relates to a dividend payment of \$0.06 per common share for the six months ended 2013 compared to a \$0.05 per share payment in the prior year period.

Cash Flow from Discontinued Operations

For the six-month periods ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, cash flows from discontinued businesses provided \$229 and used \$1,141 from operating activities, respectively. On June 4, 2012, we sold our Shipping Systems Division. The sale proceeds are included within our cash flows from discontinued investing activities of \$8,524 during the period ended June 30, 2012.

Financial Condition

As of June 30, 2013, we had \$94,678 in cash and cash equivalents and credit facilities with \$126,251 of availability while carrying only \$38 in total debt. As of June 30, 2013, we were in compliance with all of the Credit Agreement's covenants. We believe this liquidity will provide the flexibility to take advantage of both organic and external investment opportunities.

Included within cash and cash equivalents are highly liquid and highly rated money market funds with various underlying securities. Our priority continues to be short-term maturities and the preservation of our principal balances. Approximately \$36,941 of our cash and cash equivalents was held in non-domestic bank accounts. These funds are available to meet the liquidity needs of our foreign operations. Repatriation activity would subject these funds to U.S. income taxes.

Borrowings under our Credit Agreement bear interest at rates based upon either the base rate or LIBOR-based rate plus applicable margins. Applicable margins are dictated by the ratio of our indebtedness, less cash on hand in excess of \$15,000, to our consolidated EBITDA. The base rate is the highest of (a) PNC Bank's prime rate or (b) the Federal Funds Rate plus .50% or (c) the daily LIBOR rate plus 1.00%. The base rate spread ranges from 0.00% to 1.00%. LIBOR-based rates are determined by dividing the published LIBOR rate by a number equal to 1.00 minus the percentage prescribed by the Federal Reserve for determining the maximum reserve requirements with respect to any Eurocurrency funding by banks on such day. The LIBOR-based rate spread ranges from 1.00% to 2.00%.

Critical Accounting Policies

The Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. When more than one accounting principle, or method of its application, is generally accepted, management selects the principle or method that is appropriate in the Company's specific circumstances. Application of these accounting principles requires management to make estimates about the future resolution of existing uncertainties. As a result, actual results could differ from these estimates. In preparing these financial statements, management has made its best estimates and judgments of the amounts and disclosures included in the financial statements giving due regard to materiality. There have been no material changes in the Company's critical accounting policies or estimates since December 31, 2012. For more information regarding the Company's critical accounting policies, please see the Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.

[Table of Contents](#)

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

The Company's off-balance sheet arrangements include operating leases, purchase obligations and standby letters of credit. A schedule of the Company's required payments under financial instruments and other commitments as of December 31, 2012 is included in the "Liquidity and Capital Resources" section of the Company's Annual Report filed on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012. These arrangements provide the Company with increased flexibility relative to the utilization and investment of cash resources. There were no material changes to these arrangements during the six-month period ended June 30, 2013.

Other

Segment Backlog

Total Company backlog from continuing operations at June 30, 2013 was approximately \$220,266 and is summarized by business segment in the following table for the periods indicated:

| | Backlog | | |
|--|------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| | June 30, 2013 | December 31, 2012 | June 30, 2012 |
| Rail Products | \$146,025 | \$ 140,592 | \$176,490 |
| Construction Products | 71,556 | 59,239 | 62,512 |
| Tubular Products | 2,685 | 11,087 | 13,045 |
| Total Backlog from Continuing Operating Activities | <u>\$220,266</u> | <u>\$ 210,918</u> | <u>\$252,047</u> |

Warranty

As of June 30, 2013, we maintained a total product warranty reserve of approximately \$11,815 for our estimate of all potential product warranty claims. Of this total, \$11,021 reflects the current estimate of our exposure for potential product warranty claims related to concrete tie production at our Grand Island, NE facility, which was closed in early 2011. While we believe this is a reasonable estimate of our potential contingencies related to identified concrete tie warranty matters, we may incur future charges associated with new customer claims or further development of information for existing customer claims. Thus, there can be no assurance that future potential costs pertaining to warranty claims will not have a material impact on our results of operations and financial condition.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

Interest Rate Risk

The Company does not purchase or hold any derivative financial instruments for trading purposes.

At contract inception, the Company designates its derivative instruments as hedges. The Company recognizes all derivative instruments on the balance sheet at fair value. Fluctuations in the fair values of derivative instruments designated as cash flow hedges are recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income and reclassified into earnings within other income as the underlying hedged items affect earnings. To the extent that a change in a derivative does not perfectly offset the change in value of the interest rate being hedged, the ineffective portion is recognized in earnings immediately.

Foreign Currency Exchange Rate Risk

The Company is subject to exposures to changes in foreign currency exchange rates. The Company manages its exposure to changes in foreign currency exchange rates on firm sale and purchase commitments by entering into foreign currency forward contracts. The Company's risk management objective is to reduce its exposure to the effects of changes in exchange rates on these transactions over the duration of the transactions. The Company did not engage in foreign currency hedging transactions during the six-month period ended June 30, 2013.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

- a) L. B. Foster Company carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a—15(e) under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended) as of June 30, 2013. Based upon that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are effective to timely alert them to material information relating to the Company (including its consolidated subsidiaries) required to be included in the Company's periodic Securities and Exchange Commission filings.
- b) There have been no changes in the Company's internal controls over financial reporting that occurred in the period covered by this report that have materially affected or are likely to materially affect the Company's internal controls over financial reporting.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION**(Dollars in thousands, except share data)****Item 1. Legal Proceedings**

See Note 15, "Commitments and Contingent Liabilities," to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, which is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

In addition to the risk factors and other information set forth in this report, you should carefully consider the factors discussed in Part I, Item 1A. Risk Factors in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012, as filed with the SEC on March 8, 2013, which could materially affect our business, financial condition, financial results, or future performance. The risks described in our Annual Report on Form 10-K are not the only risks facing the Company. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known or that we currently deem to be immaterial may also materially affect our business, financial condition and/or results of operations.

We may be impacted by the government's sequestration program which took effect March 1, 2013.

A portion of our operations are heavily dependent on governmental funding of infrastructure projects. As a result of the Budget Control Act of 2011 and related sequestration, our operating results may be adversely impacted by the reduction in federal funds available for infrastructure projects.

During the second quarter of 2013, our Construction Products segment was impacted by the federal government's automatic spending cuts. Refer to the management discussion and analysis within the Construction Products segment for additional information.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds**Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities**

The Company's purchases of equity securities for the three-month period ended June 30, 2013 were as follows:

| | Total Number of Shares Purchased (1) | Average Price Paid per Share | Total Number of shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs | Approximate Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs (in thousands) |
|--------------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|---|---|
| April 1, 2013 - April 30, 2013 | — | \$ — | — | \$ 18,520 |
| May 1, 2013 - May 31, 2013 | — | — | — | 18,520 |
| June 1, 2013 - June 30, 2013 | — | — | — | 18,520 |
| Total | — | \$ — | — | \$ 18,520 |

- (1) On May 23, 2011, the Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to \$25,000 of the Company's common shares until December 31, 2013 at which time this authorization will expire. The Company previously purchased 278,655 shares totaling approximately \$6,480 under this authorization.

While we did not purchase any common shares of the Company under our existing share repurchase authorization, through the second quarter of 2013 we did withhold 13,887 shares for approximately \$610 from employees to pay their withholding taxes in connection with the exercise and/or vesting of options and restricted stock awards.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

This item is not applicable to the Company.

[Table of Contents](#)

Item 6. Exhibits

All exhibits are incorporated herein by reference:

| | |
|------------|---|
| *10.1 | Non-Employee Director Compensation. |
| *31.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer under Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| *31.2 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer under Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| *32.0 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer under Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| ***101.INS | XBRL Instance Document. |
| ***101.SCH | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document. |
| ***101.CAL | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document. |
| ***101.DEF | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document. |
| ***101.LAB | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document. |
| ***101.PRE | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document. |

* Exhibits marked with an asterisk are filed herewith.

*** In accordance with SEC Release 33-8238, the certifications contained in Exhibits 32 are being furnished and not filed.

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

L.B. FOSTER COMPANY
(Registrant)

Date: August 6, 2013

By: /s/ David J. Russo
David J. Russo
Senior Vice President,
Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer
(Duly Authorized Officer of Registrant)

[Table of Contents](#)

Index to Exhibits

All exhibits are incorporated herein by reference:

| <u>Exhibit Number</u> | <u>Description</u> |
|-----------------------|---|
| *10.1 | Non-Employee Director Compensation. |
| *31.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer under Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| *31.2 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer under Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| *32.0 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer under Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| ***101.INS | XBRL Instance Document. |
| ***101.SCH | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document. |
| ***101.CAL | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document. |
| ***101.DEF | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document. |
| ***101.LAB | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document. |
| ***101.PRE | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document. |

* Exhibits marked with an asterisk are filed herewith.

Non-Employee Director Compensation

Adopted by the Board of Directors: May 23, 2013

| <u>Element of Compensation</u> | <u>Amount</u> |
|--|---------------|
| Base (annual amount in cash, paid in quarterly installments) | \$50,000 |
| Chairperson adders (annual amounts in cash, paid in quarterly installments) | |
| Chairman of the Board | \$60,000 |
| Compensation Committee Chair | \$12,500 |
| Audit Committee Chair | \$10,000 |
| Nomination and Governance Committee Chair | \$ 6,000 |
| Annual Equity Grant ¹ | \$75,000 |

- The equity component is paid annually on the date of the Corporation's Annual Meeting of Shareholders in fully-vested shares of the Corporation's common stock valued based on the closing price on such date, rounded up or down to eliminate the issuance of fractional shares.

**Certification under Section 302 of the
Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002**

I, Robert P. Bauer, President and Chief Executive Officer of L. B. Foster Company, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of L. B. Foster Company;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: August 6, 2013

/s/ Robert P. Bauer

Name: Robert P. Bauer

Title: President and Chief Executive Officer

**Certification under Section 302 of the
Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002**

I, David J. Russo, Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer of L. B. Foster Company, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of L. B. Foster Company;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: August 6, 2013

/s/ David J. Russo

Name: David J. Russo

Title: Senior Vice President,

Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer

CERTIFICATE PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Quarterly Report of L. B. Foster Company (the "Company") on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2013, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), the undersigned certify pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 that:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) The information contained in this Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Date: August 6, 2013

/s/ Robert P. Bauer

Name: Robert P. Bauer

Title: President and Chief Executive Officer

Date: August 6, 2013

/s/ David J. Russo

Name: David J. Russo

Title: Senior Vice President,
Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer